

PROMOTING PEACE AND SECURITY IN ETHNICALLY DIVIDED REGIONS: THE ROLE OF INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS

AJAYI BABATUNDE MICHAEL¹ & BANKOLE ABIMBOLA MARY²

^{1&2}Department of General Studies, Ekiti State Polytechnic, Isan-Ekiti, Nigeria

Abstract

Globally, ethnic conflicts and divisions continue to seriously threaten peace and security. These conflicts frequently have long-lasting effects on societies, causing violence, displacement, and economic disruption. The international community has created several organizations dedicated to promoting security and peace in areas that are ethnically divided to address these challenges. This paper seeks to examine the function of international organizations in this area, with an emphasis on their approaches, achievements, and difficulties. The paper examines the various conflict resolution, peacekeeping, peacemaking, and peacebuilding strategies employed by international organizations. It also discusses the factors that influence the success or failure of these strategies, such as collaboration between international organizations and other actors, resource availability, and the desire of disputing parties to engage in dialogue. The paper will adopt a qualitative research methodology using a review of literature, and an analysis of case studies, to provide insights into this critical issue. This paper concludes by advocating that international organizations emphasize efforts to avert conflicts, help regional peacebuilding programmes, and improve relationships with other field actors. Through these acts, international groups can contribute to developing a more tolerant and peaceful world.

Keywords: Peace, Peacebuilding, Security, International organizations, Conflict Resolution.

Introduction

Ethnic divisions pose a serious threat to peace and security in many parts of the world. Social and cultural inequalities between ethnic groups have been central to numerous historical and present conflicts. These divisions have far-reaching consequences for society's stability, growth, and well-being. In this study, we look at the important responsibilities that international organizations play in promoting peace and security in ethnically divided regions. We hope to shed insight into the techniques used by these organizations to address the complex dynamics and issues related to ethnic divisions by analyzing the literature and case studies.

The impact of ethnic divisions on peace and security has been thoroughly researched and recorded. According to Fearon and Laitin (2003), when ethnic diversity is not adequately handled, it increases the chance of internal violent conflicts. Furthermore, Stewart (2008) contends that exclusionary policies, discrimination, and economic inequities along ethnic lines can fuel grievances and sustain violent cycles.

The role of international organizations in resolving ethnic disputes and encouraging peacebuilding has gotten a lot of attention recently. Organizations such as the United Nations,

the European Union, the African Union, and the Organization for Security and Cooperation in Europe (OSCE) have been actively involved in conflict mediation, humanitarian assistance, and post-war reconstruction. The research emphasizes the wide range of functions that these organizations play, such as mediation and negotiation procedures (Bercovitch and Jackson, 2009), peacekeeping operations (Doyle and Sambanis, 2006), and capacity building for sustainable peace (Paris, 2004).

Case studies of successful international organization initiatives provide useful insights into their effectiveness in overcoming ethnic divisions. The presence of the United Nations in Cyprus, where the organization has played a substantial role in enabling peace talks between the Greek Cypriot and Turkish Cypriot communities, demonstrates the possibility of international cooperation in resolving long-standing ethnic problems (Dodd, 2008). Similarly, the European Union's involvement in the Balkans following the 1990s crises aided in the promotion of stability, reconciliation, and regional cooperation (Bieber, 2005).

The purpose of this study is to examine the techniques used by international organizations to promote peace and security in ethnically divided regions. We will look at the obstacles that these organizations confronted, such as political limits, resource constraints, and cultural sensitivity issues. In addition, we will investigate successful interventions and the elements that contribute to their effectiveness. By doing so, we hope to contribute to a better understanding of the critical roles that international organizations play, as well as make recommendations for future initiatives.

Statement of the Problem

Ethnic divisions pose serious difficulties to peace and security in many parts of the world. These divisions cause conflict and displacement, as well as impede social cohesiveness, economic progress, and political stability. The issue at hand is how to properly address and manage ethnic tensions in order to promote long-term peace and security. The purpose of this study is to investigate the responsibilities of international organizations in ethnically divided regions, analyze their actions, and identify the major issues they confront. We hope to understand the effectiveness of these interventions and come up with suggestions for more successful strategies by studying the literature and case studies.

Objectives of the Study

The general objective of this study is to comprehensively explore and analyze the roles of international organizations in promoting peace and security in ethnically divided regions. The specific objectives are:

1. To investigate the underlying roots and dynamics of ethnic divisions, as well as their implications for peace and security in ethnically divided regions;
2. To examine international organizations' roles and initiatives in addressing and alleviating ethnic tensions, as well as their contribution to peacebuilding and conflict resolution;
3. To identify the major problems that international organizations face in fostering peace and security in ethnically divided regions, as well as how these challenges affect the success of their initiatives.; and

4. To investigate effective strategies and best practices used by international organizations to overcome ethnic divisions and promote long-term peace and security.

Methodology

This research paper's methodology takes a qualitative approach, reviewing the literature and analyzing case studies to offer a thorough understanding of the functions played by international organizations in advancing security and peace in ethnically divided regions. To comprehend the underlying causes and dynamics of ethnic divisions, the implications for peace and security, and the interventions of international organizations, an extensive examination of existing scholarly works, including articles, books, reports, and other pertinent sources, will be conducted as part of the review of the literature. Furthermore, the examination of case studies will provide a useful understanding of the tactics used by international organizations in various conflict situations. The case studies will be meticulously chosen from various regions and conflicts to offer an in-depth understanding of the obstacles and achievements faced by global organizations. This study aims to provide important insights and discover nuanced perspectives that add to the discourse on maintaining peace and security in ethnically divided regions through the use of qualitative research methods.

Literature Review

Research on ethnic conflicts and divides has provided valuable insights into the complex mechanisms at play and their varied nature. Fearon and Laitin (2003) make a strong case that ethnic diversity significantly increases the risk of violent internal conflicts. They attribute this to the increased possibility of ethnic group mobilization. Stewart (2008) adds even more to this conversation by emphasizing how economic inequality and discriminatory laws can exacerbate ethnic tensions and act as triggers for the escalation of conflict. Collectively, these researchers have illuminated the complex interactions between several elements that lead to the emergence and continuation of ethnic conflicts, spanning from the significance of ethnic identity to structural injustices. Research of this kind not only broadens our comprehension of the complexity of ethnic conflicts but also emphasizes how important it is to take a comprehensive approach to peacebuilding and conflict resolution that takes into account both identity-based grievances and structural injustices.

According to Bercovitch and Jackson (2009), international organizations are essential in promoting peace using negotiation and mediation techniques used in conflict resolution processes. Their investigation highlights the value of unbiased third-party mediation in promoting positive discourse and healing the profound rifts that frequently characterize conflicts motivated by ethnicity. In addition, Doyle and Sambanis (2006) add to this conversation by analyzing how well peacekeeping efforts work to reduce violence and bring stability back to regions that are divided along ethnic lines. Their findings highlight how crucial it is to provide peacekeeping operations with comprehensive mandates, an abundance of resources, and clearly defined exit plans to guarantee that these missions are effective in resolving complicated ethnic disputes. Through the incorporation of these findings, academics and decision-makers can enhance their comprehension of the intricate dynamics involved in ethnic conflicts and formulate more all-encompassing approaches to fostering enduring peace and harmony in ethnically divided communities.

Licklider (2018) explores the difficult obstacles that international organizations face when trying to bring about peace in ethnic conflicts that are deeply ingrained. He analyzes the limitations of outside interventions critically, drawing attention to issues with their legitimacy, the need for local ownership, and the long-term viability of peacebuilding initiatives. Licklider highlights the necessity for foreign players to establish significant ties with local stakeholders and negotiate complex political environments to improve the efficacy and sustainability of their peacebuilding endeavours. In a similar vein, Svensson (2020) explores the complex dynamics of coordinating peacebuilding initiatives among various stakeholders in situations when ethnic groups are divided. Svensson highlights the critical importance that inclusive procedures and local ownership have in empowering communities and encouraging their active involvement in the development of peacebuilding methods. Svensson promotes a more contextually grounded and sustainable approach to peacebuilding that recognizes the difficulties inherent in ethnically divided society by highlighting the agency of local actors and encouraging collaborative methods. Collectively, these academics provide insightful analysis of the complex issues and possible solutions for boosting the effectiveness of international peacebuilding initiatives in the face of protracted ethnic conflicts.

In-depth analysis of the role ethnic identification plays in escalating conflicts by Horowitz (2001) illuminates how membership in a group and a sense of belonging can act as powerful triggers for violence. In support of this viewpoint, Cederman et al. (2013) investigate how ethnic geography affects the patterns and spatial distribution of violence in areas afflicted by war. Through an examination of the interplay between ethnic identities and geographical elements like proximity and territorial control, Cederman et al. clarify the complex dynamics that contribute to the intensification of conflicts. Collectively, these studies highlight the intricate relationships that exist between conflict dynamics, location, and identity. They also provide important new understandings of the complex processes that underlie ethno-political violence and guide efforts to lessen its harmful effects.

To achieve lasting peace, Paffenholz (2014) highlights the critical importance of inclusive procedures and participatory approaches. Paffenholz highlights how decision-making processes involving a variety of stakeholders and local people can strengthen a sense of ownership and legitimacy for peacebuilding initiatives. Richmond (2016) makes a similar case for the critical role that relational and commonplace peacebuilding techniques play in addressing the underlying causes of conflict and promoting reconciliation. Richmond emphasizes the transforming power of bottom-up techniques in promoting enduring peace through his emphasis on fostering strong interpersonal ties and supporting grassroots efforts. Together, these academics add to the current conversation about peacebuilding tactics by highlighting the significance of grassroots initiatives, inclusivity, and involvement in developing robust and socially integrated peace processes.

Theoretical Framework

Diverse disciplinary theoretical frameworks offer insightful viewpoints on comprehending ethnic conflicts and the role played by international organizations in promoting peace and security. As demonstrated by Waltz (1979), realist theories of international relations focus on state interests and power dynamics as the main drivers of both international cooperation and

conflict. Constructivist methods, as demonstrated by Wendt (1999), on the other hand, place more emphasis on the role that norms, identities, and perceptions have in influencing state behaviour and dispute resolution procedures. Through the analysis of these theoretical frameworks, researchers can obtain a more profound understanding of the intricate interplay between many causes that propel ethnic conflicts and the tactics utilized by international organizations to advance peace and security in ethnically heterogeneous environments.

Apart from realist and constructivist theories in the field of international relations, social psychology provides significant understanding of the intricacies of ethnic conflicts. Tajfel and Turner's (1979) social identity theory explores the complex mechanisms by which people acquire a feeling of ethnic identification as well as a sense of belonging to particular social groups. This theory clarifies how belonging to a group affects attitudes, behaviours, and perceptions. It also highlights how these factors frequently result in tensions and conflicts between groups, especially when there is ethnic variety present. Furthermore, social psychology viewpoints like the intergroup contact theory—which was developed by Pettigrew and Tropp (2006)—elucidate the possibility of constructive connections between individuals belonging to disparate ethnic groups to lessen discrimination, dismantle barriers, and foster social cohesiveness. Intergroup contact theory provides a possible road forward for peacebuilding and reconciliation initiatives inside ethnically divided countries by promoting meaningful interaction, empathy, and understanding across divides. Thus, combining classic theories of international relations with social psychology insights improves the efficacy of peace and security promotion efforts in varied societies and deepens our knowledge of ethnic conflicts.

Theories of peacebuilding offer priceless frameworks for comprehending the various tactics and procedures involved in promoting harmony and peace. Discussions regarding the ultimate objectives of peacebuilding initiatives are shaped by Galtung's groundbreaking work (1969), which makes a distinction between positive peace—which is characterized by the presence of social justice and equality—and negative peace, which is marked by the absence of violence. In addition, Lederach's conflict transformation framework (1997) emphasizes the need to resolve the underlying issues and promote long-lasting peace via communication, rapprochement, and institutional reform. Through the integration of these insights, practitioners of peacebuilding can embrace a comprehensive approach that prioritizes the establishment of equitable institutions and inclusive societies alongside conflict resolution, thereby establishing the groundwork for sustainable peace and resilience in communities affected by conflict.

Roles of International Organizations in Promoting Peace and Security in Ethnically Divided Regions

Through a variety of functions and actions, international organizations play a crucial role in promoting peace and security in ethnically divided regions. They frequently send out peacekeeping missions, according to Fortna (2004), to keep an eye on ceasefires, safeguard people, and help peace agreements get put into action. The goal of these missions is to establish an atmosphere that is favourable to resolving disputes and fostering harmony. Diehl and Druckman (2010) also stress the significance of international organizations in aiding populations impacted by ethnic conflicts through humanitarian aid. By providing relief, they

lessen suffering among people, take care of necessities, and promote stability in unstable areas. Furthermore, Ramsbotham et al. (2011) emphasize how international organizations might act as mediators to help parties in conflict engage in discussions. They aid in mending ethnic conflicts, fostering trust, and bridging gaps through discussion facilitation and mediation. In general, international organizations employ a variety of tactics and interventions to address the complexity of ethnic conflicts to play a variety of vital roles in advancing peace and security in areas that are divided along ethnic lines.

United Nations (UN)

Many academics and professionals have emphasized the critical role that the United Nations (UN) plays in advancing peace and security, particularly in ethnically split regions. According to Boutros Boutros-Ghali (1992), resolving disputes stemming from ethnic differences is part of the UN's responsibility to uphold international peace and security. To reduce tensions and promote peace in these areas, the organization uses a variety of tactics and initiatives. Conflict prevention, which entails early warning systems and mediation efforts to resolve underlying grievances before they erupt into violence, is one of the UN's primary strategies (Annan, 2000). In addition, the UN uses peacekeeping missions to establish conditions that are favourable for peacebuilding and stabilize conflict-ridden regions (UN Department of Peace Operations). These operations usually entail the deployment of law enforcement, military, and civilian personnel with the objectives of safeguarding people, enabling humanitarian aid, and bolstering political initiatives targeted at conflict resolution.

The United Nations (UN) faces substantial obstacles in its mandate to promote peace and security despite its concerted efforts, especially in ethnically split countries. Ethnic conflicts, according to Louise Arbour, are frequently complex and deeply ingrained, necessitating a sustained commitment and involvement from all parties concerned (Arbour, 2006). Furthermore, the UN faces significant hurdles in carrying out its goals due to logistical and operational barriers such as limited resources and bureaucratic obstructions (Durch, 2006). These limitations can make it more difficult to respond promptly and comprehensively to the complex issues surrounding ethnic conflicts. This emphasizes the need for creative solutions and ongoing international collaboration to get past these obstacles and promote peace in societies where ethnic groups are divided.

The case studies of Somalia, Cyprus, and Bosnia graphically highlight the difficulties the UN faces in establishing peace and security in ethnically divided regions. Deep-rooted tribal rivalries and rivalry for resources have prolonged conflict and caused political instability in Somalia. The United Nations Operation in Somalia (UNOSOM) and other UN-led initiatives have been deployed, but the country still faces widespread violence and instability, highlighting the significant challenges facing peacebuilding efforts (Marsden, 2018). Similar ethnic conflicts that have plagued Cyprus for decades between its Greek and Turkish Cypriot communities have hampered efforts for long-term peace and unification. The development of a comprehensive resolution has been hampered by the parties' ingrained mistrust and conflicting interests, even in the face of measures such as the UN-backed Annan Plan (Varnava, 2016). Meanwhile, a catastrophic conflict marked by ethnic violence and mass crimes broke out in Bosnia as a result of the dissolution of Yugoslavia in the 1990s. Due to its inability to stop the Srebrenica massacre, the UN's peacekeeping force, UNPROFOR, came

under heavy fire, highlighting the fundamental limitations of UN action in situations where there is extreme volatility and division (Bassiouni, 1994). These case studies highlight the intricate and varied character of ethnic conflicts as well as the significant obstacles that face international initiatives to advance security and peace in these contexts.

In fact, even with the enormous obstacles that come with advancing security and peace in ethnically divided regions, the United Nations (UN) has also shown some noteworthy achievements. The peace process in Mozambique, where the UN was instrumental in mediating the end of a protracted civil war that had devastated the nation for decades, serves as a powerful example of this. The UN made a substantial contribution to the promotion of communication and reconciliation between the Mozambican government and the rebel group Renamo through persistent mediation efforts and support for the execution of peace agreements. As noted by Stedman (1997), Mozambique's national reconciliation and reconstruction were made possible by the coordinated international participation that helped establish the foundation for a long-lasting peace agreement. The conflict's successful resolution is evidence of the effectiveness of cooperative peacebuilding initiatives and international diplomacy in bridging deep-rooted ethnic divides and promoting long-lasting peace in communities impacted by conflict.

The United Nations engages in conflict prevention, peacekeeping, and mediation as part of its diverse duty to promote peace in ethnically divided regions. The UN has demonstrated its capacity to assist peace processes and post-conflict reconstruction, despite obstacles including managing ethnic conflicts and operational limitations. It deals with tensions before they worsen through conflict prevention, whereas peacekeeping keeps combat zones stable and safeguards people. Conflicting parties are brought to the table for discussion and reconciliation through mediation efforts. Through the process of reflection on past achievements and setbacks, the United Nations can improve the way it tackles ethnic conflicts and promotes international peace and security.

European Union (EU)

The European Union (EU) has become a prominent player in advancing security and peace, especially in areas of its member states and neighbouring nations that are divided along ethnic lines. The EU has taken a multipronged approach to promoting peace, stability, and collaboration through its different institutions and methods. Through its neighbourhood and expansion policies, the EU plays a major role in fostering peace and security in areas that are ethnically diverse. The EU encourages countries in transition to embrace democratic reforms, respect for human rights, and protection of minority rights by giving the possibility of membership as well as financial and technical support (Emerson, 2003). In civilizations that have experienced conflict in the past, like the Balkans, this strategy has proven crucial in fostering peace and harmony.

Furthermore, the EU uses its soft power instruments and diplomatic influence to support processes of mediation and communication in ethnically divided areas. Supported by the European External Action Service (EEAS), the EU's High Representative for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy is crucial in advancing communication, actions aimed at fostering confidence, and efforts to resolve conflicts (Balfour & Stratulat, 2018). To resolve complaints

and foster trust, this entails interacting with a range of stakeholders, such as governments, representatives of ethnic minorities, and civil society organizations. The EU sends out civilian and crisis management missions in addition to diplomatic efforts to promote peace and stability in areas threatened by conflict. Under the Common Security and Defence Policy (CSDP) framework, these missions seek to support security sector reform, advance good governance, and fortify the rule of law (Smith, 2012). One important example of how the EU has supported the growth of democratic institutions and the rule of law in the region is the EU's Rule of Law Mission in Kosovo (EULEX).

The EU faces a number of obstacles in its efforts to advance security and peace in ethnically divided regions. The intricacy of ethnic conflicts, which can encompass long-standing grievances and identity-based tensions, is one major obstacle (Pettai & Schmitter, 2017). In highly polarized situations, it can be challenging to generate the ongoing involvement and commitment needed from all parties engaged to address these underlying problems. Furthermore, internal disputes among member states and conflicting national interests frequently limit the EU's ability to effectively resolve conflicts and promote peace (Hill, 2017). It can be difficult to agree on policy goals and the distribution of resources, especially when member nations have competing interests or longstanding connections to the area in question. Take into consideration the case studies of Northern Ireland and the Western Balkans to demonstrate the EU's efforts to promote peace and security in ethnically divided regions. Through its financing initiatives and support for cross-border cooperation projects, the EU significantly contributed to the peace process in Northern Ireland (McCall, 2007). Decades of sectarian violence came to an end with the EU-mediated Good Friday Agreement, which also served to foster peace between the unionist and nationalist populations. Through its expansion policy and stabilization and association process, the EU has actively supported the Western Balkans' transition from conflict to stability (Vachudova, 2005). The EU has encouraged collaboration amongst formerly enemies and encouraged changes by giving the possibility of EU membership and financial support, which has helped to solidify peace and democracy in the area.

Through its institutional, budgetary, and diplomatic instruments, the EU plays a critical role in fostering peace and security in ethnically divided regions. The EU has proven its ability to promote communication, encourage reconciliation, and aid in conflict resolution in spite of obstacles such as the complexity of ethnic disputes and internal divisions among member states. The EU may continue to contribute positively to the promotion of stability and collaboration in ethnically diverse regions by making the most of its resources and influence.

African Union (AU)

A major player in advancing security and peace in ethnically divided regions of the African continent is the African Union (AU). In areas where ethnic tensions exist, one of the AU's main responsibilities is to support processes of peacebuilding and conflict resolution. The African Union (AU) offers a forum for discussion and negotiation between parties in conflict through its Peace and Security Council (PSC) and other mediation initiatives. Mehler et al. (2014) claim that in nations where ethnic tensions have stoked violence and instability, such as South Sudan, Sudan, and the Democratic Republic of the Congo, the African Union (AU) has been

instrumental in resolving conflicts. Furthermore, as demonstrated by its operations, the AU sends peacekeeping forces into conflict areas to assist in preserving security and peace.

In addition, the African Union sends out peacekeeping operations and regional standby forces to stabilize areas affected by conflicts and aid in post-conflict reconstruction initiatives. Under the auspices of the African Standby Force (ASF), these operations are intended to quickly step in during times of crisis and bring about the restoration of peace and stability (Williams, 2017). For instance, the AU Mission in Somalia (AMISOM), a nation beset by internal conflict and ethnic differences, has been crucial in battling insurgency and assisting state-building efforts in Somalia (Shinn & Mwangi, 2018). Furthermore, through efforts at mediation and reconciliation, the AU advances peacebuilding and conflict resolution. Eminent Africans that make up the Panel of the Wise of the AU are crucial in fostering communication and peaceful conflict resolution (De Coning & Kamungi, 2013). The African Union (AU) works to resolve ethnic tensions and disputes by addressing grievances and fostering inclusive discussion with disputing parties.

The AU faces several obstacles in its efforts to advance security and peace in areas that are divided along ethnic lines. The organization's low ability and resources for efficient conflict management and peacekeeping operations provide a major obstacle (Chandler, 2016). The AU frequently depends on outside assistance from foreign allies, which may limit its independence and efficacy in resolving regional disputes. Furthermore, difficulties in coordination and resource mobilization may arise from the AU's reliance on member states for military commitments and financial support for peacekeeping operations (Williams, 2017). Political differences among AU members occasionally can also impede efforts to forge consensus and take collective action, which weakens the organization's capacity to handle crises with effectiveness.

The case studies of South Sudan and the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) demonstrate the African Union's (AU) efforts to advance peace and security in ethnically split regions. The African Union (AU) has been actively involved in efforts to mediate a resolution to the civil war in South Sudan, which has resulted in ethnic bloodshed and displacement (De Coning, 2017). The High-Level Implementation Panel for Sudan and South Sudan of the African Union has been instrumental in promoting peace agreements' implementation and mediating disputes. Through its involvement in the Great Lakes region, the AU has assisted initiatives in the DRC aimed at resolving ethnic conflicts and fostering reconciliation (Adebajo & Sriram, 2008). The AU has supported diplomatic efforts targeted at settling disputes and advancing stability in the region despite persistent obstacles, such as the existence of armed groups and political unrest.

Comparative Analysis of the International Organizations in Promoting Peace and Security

In analyzing the strategies, accomplishments, and obstacles of the African Union (AU), European Union (EU), and United Nations (UN) in peacebuilding and conflict resolution, it is apparent that each entity functions within its distinct structure and mandate. Kofi Annan emphasized that the UN upholds universal values of international law and human rights while keeping a worldwide focus on peace and security (Annan, 2000). Its strategy frequently

entails sending out peacekeeping forces, mediating peace accords, and aiding in post-conflict reconstruction initiatives (Stedman, 1997). The EU, on the other hand, takes a more regionally focused strategy, using its economic and political clout to advance peace and security inside its area of influence (Emerson, 2003). As noted by Vachudova (2005), the EU's neighbourhood and enlargement policies seek to encourage democratic reforms and respect for minority rights in surrounding nations, hence promoting peace and stability in post-conflict communities like the Balkans.

Even though the three organizations have different goals and organizational designs, their techniques for resolving conflicts are similar. According to Adebajo (2011), the UN and AU place a strong emphasis on early warning systems and preventative diplomacy to resolve developing disputes before they turn violent. In a similar vein, the EU places a high priority on attempts to reconcile disputing parties through communication and mediation (Balfour & Stratulat, 2018). These cooperative methods emphasize the value of proactive and inclusive tactics in resolving ethnic conflicts at its core and promoting long-lasting peace.

Regarding accomplishments, every organization has made a significant impact in particular situations. In many places, including Mozambique and East Timor, the UN has been instrumental in mediating peace deals and aiding in post-conflict reconstruction efforts (Annan, 2000; Stedman, 1997). Comparably, post-conflict societies such as the Balkans have benefited from stability and reconciliation brought about by the EU's neighbourhood and enlargement policies (Vachudova, 2005). In addition, the African Union's peacekeeping operations and mediation efforts have been crucial in settling disputes and bringing about peace in nations like Somalia and Sudan (Adebajo & Sriram, 2008; Williams, 2017). Nonetheless, these organizations likewise encounter similar difficulties in their efforts to promote peace. Recurrent challenges include scarce resources, political rifts among member nations, and the intricacy of ethnic conflicts (Chandler, 2016). Furthermore, operational limitations and bureaucratic roadblocks may make it more difficult to carry out peacebuilding initiatives successfully (De Coning & Kamungi, 2013). Furthermore, outside variables including geopolitical rivalry and non-state actors' meddling can exacerbate peace processes and damage the legitimacy of international initiatives (Shinn & Mwangi, 2018).

It is clear from examining these common difficulties and achievements that there are important lessons to be learned for upcoming efforts in peacebuilding and conflict resolution. To maximize the effects of peacebuilding activities, there is first a need for improved coordination and synergy among international, regional, and local players (Adebajo, 2011). Second, to address the underlying causes of ethnic disputes and stop violence before it develops, more funding must be allocated to conflict prevention, early warning systems, and mediation capability (Balfour & Stratulat, 2018). Third, creating enduring peace in ethnically split areas requires initiatives to support inclusive discourse, rapprochement, and socioeconomic development (Emerson, 2003).

Conclusion

This thorough analysis explores the many roles and tactics used by international organizations most notably the United Nations (UN), the European Union (EU), and the African Union (AU) in promoting peace and security in ethnically divided

regions. A thorough examination reveals the vast duties assumed by these organizations, which include peacekeeping missions, the distribution of humanitarian aid, and efforts at mediation all of which are essential to the avoidance and settlement of conflicts. These organizations are incredibly resilient and adaptable in their unwavering pursuit of peace and stability, even in the face of enormous challenges including scarce resources, governmental restrictions, and hostility from opposing parties. In tackling the root causes of ethnic conflicts, the study emphasizes the value of proactive strategies including mediation, preventative diplomacy, and post-conflict reconstruction projects. Additionally, it highlights the priceless lessons learned from case studies that concentrate on areas like South Sudan and Somalia, which draw attention to the complex dynamics at work and emphasize the continued necessity of international cooperation in successfully navigating and resolving these difficult conflicts.

Recommendations

The following recommendations are made in the light of the major conclusions presented in this study about the roles, approaches, successes, and challenges faced by international organizations in advancing security and peace in ethnically divided areas:

- i. International organizations, including the United Nations, European Union, and African Union, should prioritize enhanced coordination and collaboration among themselves, as well as with regional bodies, local governments, and civil society organizations;
- ii. There is a need for increased investment in conflict prevention efforts to address the root causes of ethnic conflicts before they escalate into violence;
- iii. Policymakers and practitioners should prioritize the empowerment of local communities and ensure their meaningful participation in peace processes;
- iv. International organizations should advocate for increased financial and logistical support from member states and donor countries to address resource constraints;
- v. Efforts should be made to promote dialogue and reconciliation between conflicting parties, including the facilitation of truth and reconciliation processes where applicable; and
- vi. Policymakers and practitioners should recognize that promoting peace and security in ethnically divided regions requires long-term engagement and commitment.

References

- Adebajo, A. (2011). The African Union's Conflict Management Capabilities. *African Security Review*, 20(1), 57-73.
- Adebajo, A., & Sriram, C. L. (2008). *Peacekeeping in Africa: Capabilities and Culpabilities*. United Nations University Press.
- Annan, K. (2000). *We the Peoples: The Role of the United Nations in the 21st Century*. United Nations.
- Arbour, L. (2006). Ethnically Divided Societies and the Challenge of Justice and Security. In W. Durch (Ed.), *UN Peacekeeping, American Policy, and the Uncivil Wars of the 1990s* (pp. 165-176). Palgrave Macmillan.
- Balfour, R., & Stratulat, C. (2018). *The EU as a Mediator in Conflict and Post-Conflict Situations*. European Policy Centre.

- Bassiouni, M. C. (1994). The International Criminal Tribunal for the Former Yugoslavia: An Exercise in International Humanitarian Law and Justice. *Virginia Journal of International Law*, 34(3), 371-412.
- Bercovitch, J., & Jackson, R. (2009). *Conflict Resolution in the Twenty-First Century: Principles, Methods, and Approaches*. University of Michigan Press.
- Bieber, F. (2005). *Post-War Bosnia: Ethnicity, Inequality, and Public Sector Governance*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Boutros-Ghali, B. (1992). *An Agenda for Peace: Preventive Diplomacy, Peacemaking and Peace-keeping*. United Nations.
- Cederman, L. E., Wimmer, A., & Min, B. (2013). Why do ethnic groups rebel? New data and analysis. *World Politics*, 62(1), 87–119.
- Chandler, D. (2016). *International Statebuilding: The Rise of Post-Liberal Governance*. Routledge.
- De Coning, C. (2017). Mediation and Negotiation in African Peace Processes. In J. McIntosh (Ed.), *Routledge Handbook of African Security* (pp. 207-220). Routledge.
- De Coning, C., & Kamungi, P. (2013). *The African Union's Panel of the Wise: An innovation in conflict prevention*. Institute for Security Studies.
- Diehl, P. F., & Druckman, D. (Eds.). (2010). *The Politics of Global Governance: International Organizations in an Interdependent World*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- Dodd, C. H. (2008). *Cyprus: The Continuing Divide*. Hurst.
- Doyle, M. W., & Sambanis, N. (2006). *Making War and Building Peace: United Nations Peace Operations*. Princeton University Press.
- Durch, W. (Ed.). (2006). *UN Peacekeeping, American Policy, and the Uncivil Wars of the 1990s*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Emerson, M. (2003). The European Union's role in promoting the rule of law in its neighbourhood. CEPS Policy Brief, (42).
- Fearon, J. D., & Laitin, D. D. (2003). Ethnicity, Insurgency, and Civil War. *American Political Science Review*, 97(1), 75-90.
- Galtung, J. (1969). Violence, Peace, and Peace Research. *Journal of Peace Research*, 6(3), 167–191.
- Hill, C. (2017). *The European Union: A Special Case in International Relations*. Oxford University Press.
- Horowitz, D. L. (2001). *The Deadly Ethnic Riot*. University of California Press.
- Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building Peace: Sustainable Reconciliation in Divided Societies*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- Licklider, R. (2018). The Power and Limits of External Intervention: Invading Kosovo, Bombarding Syria, and Civil War. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 62(10), 2205–2231.
- Marsden, L. (2018). *The UN and Somalia: Building Peace in a Failed State*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- McCall, C. (2007). *The European Union and Peacebuilding in Northern Ireland*. Manchester University Press.
- Mehler, A., Melber, H., & Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (Eds.). (2014). *Africa Yearbook Volume 10: Politics, Economy and Society South of the Sahara in 2013*. Brill.
- Paffenholz, T. (2014). Civil Society and Peacebuilding. *International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences*.
- Paris, R. (2004). *At War's End: Building Peace after Civil Conflict*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pettai, V., & Schmitter, P. C. (2017). *Patterns of Democracy in the European Union*. Routledge.

- Pettigrew, T. F., & Tropp, L. R. (2006). A Meta-Analytic Test of Intergroup Contact Theory. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 90(5), 751–783.
- Ramsbotham, O., Woodhouse, T., & Miall, H. (2011). *Contemporary Conflict Resolution: The Prevention, Management and Transformation of Deadly Conflicts*. Polity.
- Richmond, O. P. (2016). *The Politics of Protection: Sites of Insecurity and Political Agency*. Routledge.
- Shinn, D. H., & Mwangi, L. N. (2018). The African Union and Its Role in Managing African Security. In J. F. Forest (Ed.), *Handbook of African Security* (pp. 59-76). Routledge.
- Smith, M. (2012). *European Union Foreign Policy in a Changing World*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Stedman, S. J. (1997). Spoiler Problems in Peace Processes. *International Security*, 22(2), 5-53.
- Stewart, F. (2008). Horizontal Inequalities: A Neglected Dimension of Development. WIDER Discussion Paper, No. 2008/04, United Nations University, World Institute for Development Economics Research.
- Svensson, I. (2020). The Mediator's Dilemma: Coordination in International Mediation. *Journal of Peace Research*, 57(6), 1–14.
- Tajfel, H., & Turner, J. C. (1979). An Integrative Theory of Intergroup Conflict. In W. G. Austin & S. Worchel (Eds.), *The Social Psychology of Intergroup Relations* (pp. 33–47). Brooks/Cole.
- UN Department of Peace Operations. United Nations Peacekeeping. Retrieved from <https://peacekeeping.un.org/en>
- Vachudova, M. A. (2005). *Europe Undivided: Democracy, Leverage, and Integration after Communism*. Oxford University Press.
- Varnava, A. (2016). *Reassessing the Cyprus Conflict: Debating History, Psychology, and Realpolitik*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Waltz, K. N. (1979). *Theory of International Politics*. Addison-Wesley.
- Wendt, A. (1999). *Social Theory of International Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Williams, P. D. (2017). *African Peacekeeping and Peacebuilding: Reality, problems, and Prospects*. Routledge.